



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/788,640	02/27/2004	Steven F. Burson	01-7119	7216
32681 7	590 02/10/2006		EXAMINER	
PLANTRONICS, INC.			FAULK, DEVONA E	
345 ENCINAL STREET P.O. BOX 635			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SANTA CRUZ, CA 95060-0635			2644	

DATE MAILED: 02/10/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Astion Comments	10/788,640	BURSON, STEVEN F.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Devona E. Faulk	2644					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1/16	1/2006						
	s action is non-final.						
·=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
· _							
,	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 2/27/04 is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) M Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔯 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	,	Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)						

Application/Control Number: 10/788,640 Page 2

Art Unit: 2644

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, filed 1/16/2006, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s)
 1-20 under 102(b) and 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive.
 Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Pallai.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 6 recites "wherein the headset body is selected from an earloop, earhook and a headband". The claim language needs to be recited in the alternative using "or" and not "and". The examiner has interpreted that it should have been in the alternative and has rejected the claim accordingly.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

6. Claims 1-2,4,5-7-10,12-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Pallai (US 2001/0036291).

Regarding **claim 1**, Pallai discloses a headset (Figures 1 and 4) comprising an audio receiver (3 and 31; Figures 1 and 4);

a headset body to which the audio receiver is coupled (12,Figure 1), the headset body being configured to position the audio receiver near a headset user's ear;

a microphone located within one of the audio receiver and the headset body (60; page 2, paragraph 0028);

a flexible voice tube coupled to one of the headset body and the audio receiver, the flexible voice tube (24,Figures 1 and 4) defining a lumen therein extending between an open end of the flexible voice tube and the microphone, the flexible voice tube being bendable into a curvilinear operative shape and position while preventing kinking of the flexible voice tube, the flexible voice tube generally retaining its curvilinear operative shape and position throughout its operative use until further adjustment (see abstract; page 2, paragraph 0031).

Regarding **claim 2**, Pallai wherein the flexible voice tube is a spiral wound stainless steel flexible gooseneck tubing. Pallai discloses a voice tube comprising a metal coil spring (page 2, paragraph 0032).

Regarding **claims 4**, Pallai discloses wherein the flexible voice tube includes a shrink tubing over a stainless steel flexible tubing (page 2, paragraphs 0032-0034).

Regarding **claim 5**, Pallai discloses wherein the flexible voice tube includes a rigid collar at the open end thereof (23,Figures 1 and 4).

Regarding **claim 6**, as best understood with regarding 112 2<sup>nd</sup> as mentioned above, Pallai discloses wherein the headset body is selected from an earloop, earhook or a headband (2, Figure 1 reads on headband).

Regarding **claim 7**, Pallai discloses wherein the curvilinear operative shape and position is between a bendable limit and an unbent position, the bendable limit of the flexible voice tube being a point at which further bending of the flexible voice tube causes at least one of spring back to approximately the bendable limit, damage to the flexible voice tube, and permanent deformation of the flexible voice tube, and permanent deformation of the flexible voice tube. Pallai discloses a voice tube comprising a metal coil spring (paragraph 0032). A spring would implicitly have a bendable limit.

Regarding claim 8, Pallai discloses

a voice tube (4; Figures 1 and 4) comprising a kink-resistant flexible tubular member (24; Figures 1 and 4 having an open end and an opposing end, the opposing end being configured to be coupled to a microphone, the flexible tubular member being configured to be bendable into a curvilinear operative shape and preventing formation of kinks in the flexible tubular (inherent), the flexible tubular member being configured to generally retain its curvilinear operative shape throughout its operative use until further adjustment is made thereto (page 2, paragraph 0031; see abstract);

Art Unit: 2644

and a lumen defined by the flexible tubular member extending between the open end and the opposing end for acoustic transmission between the open end and the microphone. Lumen is defined as the inner open space or cavity of a tubular organ.

Lumen is inherent because the 16a and 16b are telescoping portions of the tube (column 3, lines 14-16). Flexible is defined as capable of being bent repeatedly without injury or damage.

Regarding **claims 9 and 13**, Pallai discloses wherein the flexible tubular member is a gooseneck member (Figures 1 and 4) and wherein the flexible tubular member includes a rigid collar at the open end thereof (23,Figures 1 and 4).

Regarding **claim 10**, Pallai discloses wherein the flexible voice tube is a spiral wound stainless steel flexible gooseneck tubing. Pallai discloses a voice tube comprising a metal coil spring (paragraph 0032).

Regarding **claim 12**, Pallai discloses wherein the flexible voice tube includes a shrink tubing over a stainless steel flexible tubing (page 2, paragraphs 0032-0034).

Regarding **claim 14**, Pallai discloses wherein the curvilinear operative shape and position is between a bendable limit and an unbent position, the bendable limit of the flexible voice tube being a point at which further bending of the flexible voice tube causes at least one of spring back to approximately the bendable limit, damage to the flexible voice tube, and permanent deformation of the flexible voice tube, and permanent deformation of the flexible voice tube. Pallai discloses a voice tube comprising a metal coil spring (paragraph 0032). A spring would implicitly have a bendable limit.

Application/Control Number: 10/788,640 Page 6

Art Unit: 2644

Regarding **claim 15**, Pallai discloses a headset (Figures 1 and 4) comprising an audio receiver (3; Figure 1);

a headset body to which the audio receiver is coupled (12; Figure 1), the headset body being configured to position the audio receiver near a headset user's ear;

a microphone located within one of the audio receiver and the headset body (60; page 2, paragraph 0028);

acoustic transmission means for acoustic transmission between an open end thereof and the microphone via a lumen defined by said acoustic transmission means extending between the pen end and the microphone (24, Figures 1 and 4) via a lumen(inherent), said acoustic transmission means being kink-resistant and adjustable into a curvilinear operative shape and generally retaining the curvilinear operative shape until further adjustment is made thereto (see abstract; page 2, paragraphs 0031). Flexible is defined as capable of being bent repeatedly without injury or damage.

Regarding **claim 16**, Pallai discloses wherein the flexible voice tube is a spiral wound stainless steel flexible gooseneck tubing. Pallai discloses a voice tube comprising a metal coil spring (paragraph 0032).

Regarding **claim 17**, Pallai discloses wherein the acoustic transmission means is selected from the group consisting of a spiral wound flexible gooseneck tubing, the gooseneck voice tube including copper wiring wrapped in stainless steel wire; and a shrink tubing over a stainless steel flexible tubing (claim 17). Pallai discloses a voice tube comprising a metal coil spring (paragraph 0032) and shrink tubing (page 2, paragraphs0032-0034).

Art Unit: 2644

Regarding **claim 18**, Pallai discloses wherein the acoustic transmission means includes a rigid collar at the open end thereof (23,Figures 1 and 4).

Regarding **claim 20**, Pallai discloses wherein the curvilinear operative shape and position is between a bendable limit and an unbent position, the bendable limit of the flexible voice tube being a point at which further bending of the flexible voice tube causes at least one of spring back to approximately the bendable limit, damage to the flexible voice tube, and permanent deformation of the flexible voice tube, and permanent deformation of the flexible voice tube. Pallai discloses a voice tube comprising a metal coil spring (paragraph 0032). A spring would implicitly have a bendable limit.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 3 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pallai (U.S. Patent Application 2001/0036291) in further view of Sawada et al. (U.S. Patent 5,350,638).

Regarding claims 3 and 11, Pallai discloses a voice tube comprising a metal coil spring (paragraph 0032). Pallai fails to disclose but Sawada teaches of the concept of copper wiring wrapped in stainless steel (column 5, lines 6-15). Thus it would have

Application/Control Number: 10/788,640

Art Unit: 2644

been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use Sawada's concept of copper wiring wrapped in stainless steel in order to provide better flexibility to the tubing.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Devona E. Faulk whose telephone number is 571-272-7515. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 am - 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivian Chin can be reached on 571-272-7848. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**DEF** 

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 8